



MEDICAL

UEFA Medical Research Grant Programme (UEFA MRGP)

Evaluation Sheet 1st stage

Applicant's name:

Title of research proposal:

Reviewer:

The Jury members will evaluate each of the research proposals using the following criteria:

- 0 = this item scores 'unacceptable'
- 1 = this item of the proposal is weak
- 2 = this item of the proposal is adequate
- 3 = this item of the proposal is good
- 4 = this item of the proposal is excellent

An average proposal will be scored between 9-12 points. Proposals will be categorised per scores as follows:

- 0-4 = the proposal is 'unacceptable'
- 5-8 = the proposal is weak
- 9-12 = the proposal is adequate
- 13-16 = the proposal is good
- 17-20 = the proposal is excellent

1 Quality of research proposal (x2)		
	Criteria for scoring	Score x 2 (0 – 4)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the research project clearly linked to current medical topics of football? • Is the project description comprehensive, well-structured, and strong? • Are the research questions, objectives and hypotheses well formulated and justified? • Is the state-of-the-art in the respective research area well described? • How convincing does the project seem? • Is the handling of sensitive data explained in detail and according to the current standard? 	
Total Score "Quality of research"		
2 Feasibility of the research project		
2.1	Criteria for scoring	Score (0 – 4)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does / Do the author(s) clearly explain how each hypothesis will be tested? • Does the provided preliminary data / the infrastructure at the site of research support the feasibility of the project? • Are the methods well supported and in accordance with current scientific standards? • Is the proposal appropriately considering all the relevant risks? 	



MEDICAL

UEFA Medical Research Grant Programme (UEFA MRGP)

Evaluation Sheet 1st stage

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the limitations clearly imposed by the applicant? • Is the list of national and international collaborators strong and brings the necessary range of expertise in the different fields of research? • Is there a substantive experience in supervisory and research project management roles? 	
	Total score "Feasibility of the research project"	
3 Relevance for Football		
	Criteria for scoring	Score (0 – 4)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can it be expected that the study results will have an impact on medical issues in football? • Does the research project fit in the UEFA Medical research strategy and fields of interest? 	
	Total score "Relevance for Football"	
4 Strength of the applicant		
	Criteria for scoring	Score (0-4)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does / Do the applicant(s) have / has an extensive track record and the publications of the applicant clearly demonstrate an ability to target high quality research? • Are all required expertise and resources either in-house, or available through external contributors? • How well does the scientific expertise of the applicant and their team fit the project proposal? 	
	Total score "Strength of the applicant"	
5 General feedback		
	Please provide short general feedback (2-3 sentences) for the applicant.	
	Cumulative Score	
	Average score (Cumulative score / 4)	