



MEDICAL

UEFA Medical & Anti-Doping Research Grant Programme

Evaluation Sheet 1st Stage

Applicant name:

Title of proposed research project:

Reviewer:

At least 2 jury members will evaluate each research proposal using the following scoring system:

Score	Definition	Description
0	Unsuitable	The application does not align with the given criteria for scoring.
1	Weak	The application only partly aligns with the given criteria for scoring and/or and the description lacks demonstration of the quality desired for the given criteria for scoring.
2	Adequate	The application mostly aligns with the given criteria for scoring and/or and the description demonstrates some of the quality desired for the given criteria for scoring but contains gaps or limitations.
3	Good	The application clearly describes the relevant topics given criteria for scoring and the description demonstrates the quality desired for the given criteria for scoring but contains minor gaps or limitations.
4	Excellent	The application clearly describes the relevant topics given criteria for scoring and the description clearly demonstrates the quality desired for the given criteria for scoring.

Proposals will be scored on 5 aspects: (1) Quality of the research proposal, which has double weigh (i.e., counts double in the overall scoring), (2) Feasibility of the research project, (3) Relevant for football, (4) Applicant profile, and (5) Impact strategy.

Summative scores for the proposals will be categorised as follows:

- 0-4 = the proposal is categorised as 'unsuitable'
- 5-9 = the proposal is categorised as 'weak'
- 10-14 = the proposal is categorised as 'adequate'
- 15-19 = the proposal is categorised as 'good'
- 20-24 = the proposal is categorised as 'excellent'

1	Quality of research proposal	Score (range 0-8 i.e., 2x4)
	Criteria for scoring	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the research project clearly linked to current medical topics of football? • Is the project description comprehensive, well-structured, and strong? • Are the research questions, aims, objectives and/or hypotheses will formulated and justified? • Is the state-of-the-art in the respective research area well described? • How convincing does the project seem? • Is the handling of sensitive data explained in detail and according to the current standards? 	



MEDICAL

UEFA Medical & Anti-Doping Research Grant Programme

Evaluation Sheet 1st Stage

2	Feasibility of the research project	
	Criteria for scoring	Score (range 0-4)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Does/do the applicant(s) clearly explain how each hypothesis/objective will be assessed?Does the provided preliminary data and/or infrastructure at the site of research support the feasibility of the project?Are the methods well supported and in accordance with current scientific standards?Is the proposal appropriately considering all relevant risks?	
3	Relevance for football	
	Criteria for scoring	Score (range 0-4)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Can it be expected that the study will have an impact on medical and/or anti-doping issues in football?Does the research project fit the UEFA Medical & Anti-Doping Research Strategy and field of interest?	
4	Applicant profile	
	Criteria for scoring	Score (range 0-4)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Does/do the applicant(s) or supervisory team for PhD candidates have a relevant research track record demonstrating the ability to conduct and deliver high-quality research?Are all required skills and resources either in-house or available through external collaborations?How well does the scientific expertise of the applicant(s) or supervisory team for PhD candidates and their team fit the project proposal?	
5	Impact strategy	
	Criteria for scoring	Score (range 0-4)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Does the impact strategy clearly target key stakeholders?Is the impact strategy achievable (with reasonable support from UEFA Medical & Anti-Doping)?	
	General Feedback	
	General feedback for applicant: (2-3 sentences)	
	Cumulative score	/24